

Current status of CCS and EU activities

Vit Hladik Czech Geological Survey Member of CGS Europe Management Board <u>vit.hladik@geology.cz</u>

Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
 The role of CCS
 Economic framework
 CCS projects worldwide
 Situation in Europe (including official slides provided by the European Commission)
 Future of CCS
 Conclusions



Outline

Introduction – context of climate change The role of CCS Economic framework CCS projects worldwide Situation in Europe Future of CCS Conclusions



Context of climate change

CCS is a climate-change mitigation option

- Main goal = to reduce the emissions of CO_2 a greenhouse gas contributing to global warming
- Basic preconditions:
 - Climate change is a fact
 - Man-made CO₂ emissions significantly contribute to climate change
 - We want to do something about it





CO₂ fluxes between the Earth and the atmosphere (in billion tons of carbon per year)



UNFCC









United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

UNFCCC is an international environmental **treaty** produced at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, informally known as the Earth Summit, held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. The objective of the treaty is to **stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere** at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.

UNFCCC Google Search

The treaty itself sets **no mandatory limits** on greenhouse gas emissions for individual countries and contains no enforcement mechanisms. In that sense, the treaty is considered legally non-binding. Instead, the treaty provides for updates (called "protocols") that would set mandatory emission limits. The principal update is the **Kyoto Protocol**.



Bali Road Map Cancun Agreements Issues Quickfinder:	Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action (ADP) takes place concurrently from 14 to 25 May. All sessions are held at the Maritim Hotel in Bonn.	Workshops Side Events Exhibits	FCCC/SBS1A/2012/L.19 Issues relating to agriculture. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair. more >>
Please choose			more>>



Kyoto Protocol

- protocol to the UNFCC
- adopted 1997, entered into force in 2005
- ratified by 191 states
- sets GHG emission reduction targets for 37 developed countries in 2009-12, compared with base year (usually 1990); average reduction commitment 5.2%
- USA did not ratify the KP; Canada withdrew in 2011
- > will not deliver global emissions reduction





CGS Europe workshop Ankara, 13-14 June 2012

Post-Kyoto

- Copenhagen accord 2009 (not binding):
 - "the scientific view that the increase in global temperature should be below 2 degrees Celsius" is recognized, in a context of sustainable development, to combat climate change
 - according to climate models, the 2 ° C target corresponds to atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 450 ppm (or less)
- Results of the UN Climate Change Conference in Durban (2011):
 - Kyoto protocol will continue after 31/12/2012 by its 2nd commitment period (only EU and a few other countries will be covered)



- universal legal agreement on climate change will be prepared until 2015, valid from 2020

Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
 The role of CCS
 Economic framework
 CCS projects worldwide
 Situation in Europe
 Future of CCS
 Conclusions



Why do we Need CO₂ Capture and Storage?



- By 2050, global population will rise from 7 to 9 billion people
- World energy demand is expected to increase by 40% between 2009 and 2035*



* World Energy Outlook 2011

Fossil fuels (coal, gas and oil) represent 80% of the global energy mix

Today

- Renewables only account for 13% of our total energy supply

World total primary energy supply (2007)





We Still Rely on Fossil Fuels

... and will Continue to do so for Decades to Come

Zero emissions platform

By 2030

Renewables could make up 30% of the global energy mix*

But fossil fuels will remain our main source of energy for decades to come

Estimated share of renewables by 2030



*Average taken across multiple estimates: IEA WEO 2008; European Commission baseline scenario; German Ministry of Environment, EUROPROG

Fossil Fuels Power the Largest Emitters of

 CO_2



Fossil fuels power & heat plants, heavy industry and refineries account for 61% of the world's CO₂ emissions* (almost 18 billion tonnes CO₂ emissions in 2009)



We need to cut CO₂ emissions **fast...**



... as energy consumption continues to **rise**

How do we Meet this Challenge?



By using a portfolio of solutions:





CCS within the carbon abatement portfolio

Figure 1: CCS delivers one-fifth of the lowest-cost GHG reduction solution in 2050





KEY POINT: Without CCS, overall costs to halve CO, emissions levels by 2050 increase by 70%.

CCS is not only about power production

Other technologies where CCS can be applied:

- Iron & steel production
- Cement industry
- Paper & pulp production
- Chemical technologies (e.g. ethanol & ammonia production)
- Gasification plants (syngas)
- Natural gas treatment (purification)

And, last but not least:

- Biomass combustion with CCS produces negative CO₂ emissions!



Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
The role of CCS
Economic framework
CCS projects worldwide
Situation in Europe
Future of CCS
Conclusions



Principle of CCS



We can capture at least 90% of emissions from fixed emitters We have been transporting CO₂ for decades

CO₂ can be stored **safely** and **permanently** using natural trapping mechanisms

Capital cost of CCS



Installed capital cost of low-carbon technologies and conventional power generation





Cost of electricity



Levelised cost of electricity of low-carbon technologies and conventional power generation





Cost of CO₂ avoided



Cost of CO₂ avoided¹



Demonstration phase needs co-financing



Demonstration phase:

CCS not economically viable. Public contribution necessary for some portion



Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
 The role of CCS
 Economic framework
 CCS projects worldwide
 Situation in Europe
 Future of CCS
 Conclusions



Large-scale CCS projects worldwide





Large-scale CCS projects worldwide



	Identify	Evaluate	Define	Execute	Operate	Total
United States	1	8	9	4	4	26
Europe Area	2	8	8	0	2	20
Australia and New Zealand	0	5	0	1	0	6
Canada	0	2	3	2	1	8
China	4	3	0	0	0	7
Middle East	0	1	2	0	0	3
East Asia	1	1	0	0	0	2
Africa	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	8	28	22	7	8	73







Large-scale CCS projects worldwide

Project name	Location	Capture type	Volume CO ₂ (Mtpa)	Storage type	Start date		
In operation							
Val Verde Natural Gas Plants	United States	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	1.3	EOR	1972		
Enid Fertilizer Plant	United States	Pre-combustion (fertiliser)	0.7	EOR	1982		
Shute Creek Gas Processing Facility	United States	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	7	EOR	1986		
Sleipner CO ₂ Injection	Norway	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	1 (+ 0.2 in construction)	Deep saline formation	1996		
Great Plains Synfuels Plant and Weyburn-Midale	US/ Canada	Pre-combustion (synfuels)	3	EOR	2000		
In Salah CO ₂ Storage	Algeria	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	1	Deep saline formation	2004		
Snøhvit CO ₂ Injection	Norway	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	0.7	Deep saline formation	2008		
Century Plant	United States	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	5 (+ 3.5 in construction)	EOR	2010		



© Global CCS Institute, 2012



Pioneer large-scale projects



Sleipner, deep saline aquifer, Norway, 1 Mt CO₂/y since 1996



In-Salah, gas reservoir, Algeria, 1 Mt CO₂/y since 2004



Weyburn-Midale, oil reservoir, Canada, 1.8 Mt CO₂/y since 2000



Snohvit, deep saline aquifer, Norway, 0.7 Mt CO₂/y since 2007

Courtesy CO2REMOVE, Statoil, PTRC



Laboratory-scale sites and pilots















Courtesy CO2REMOVE, GFZ, GDF, US-DoE, Total, RITE, CO2RC

U.S. Carbon Sequestration Partnerships



*Information current as of 2010. Some locations presented on map may differ from final injection location.

CO₂-EOR in the USA

- Commercial projects since 1972
- 114 projects in operation in 2010, additional oil production 280 th. barrels per day
- More than 5800 km pipelines for CO₂ transport
- Total CO₂ injection more than 600 Mt CO₂



Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
The role of CCS
Economic framework
CCS projects worldwide
Situation in Europe
Future of CCS
Conclusions



Ambitious EU emission reduction targets

→ The "20-20-20" target for 2020:

- reduction in EU greenhouse gas emissions of at least 20% below 1990 levels
- 20% of EU energy consumption to come from renewable resources
- 20% reduction in primary energy use compared with projected levels, to be achieved by improving energy efficiency
- Low-carbon economy in 2050
- "Roadmap for moving to a competitive low-carbon economy in 2050" – EC communication of 2011 supported by Energy Roadmap 2050 + other roadmaps



- 80-95 % emission reduction in 2050

Ambitious Ellomission roduction



Ambitious Ellomission roduction

EU GHG emissions towards an 80% domestic reduction (100% =1990)



Sectoral reductions

GHG reductions compared to 1990	2005	2030	2050
Total	-7%	-40 to -44%	-79 to -82%
Sectors			
Power (CO ₂)	-7%	-54 to -68%	-93 to -99%
Industry (CO ₂)	-20%	-34 to -40%	-83 to -87%
Transport (incl. CO2 aviation, excl. maritime)	+30%	+20 to -9%	-54 to -67%
Residential and services (CO ₂)	-12%	-37 to -53%	-88 to-91%
Agriculture (non-CO ₂)	-20%	-36 to -37%	-42 to -49%
Other non-CO ₂ emissions	-30%	-72 to -73%	-70 to -78%



Current status of CCS in Europe

- Two large-scale CCS projects offshore Norway
 Sleipner and Snohvit
- Integrated CCS pilot at Lacq (France)
- Capture pilots Esbjerg, Schwarze Pumpe, Brindisi, Compostilla, Buggenum, Ferrybridge, Mongstad
- Storage pilots Ketzin, K12b, Kaniów
- CO₂-EOR operations Hungary, Turkey, Croatia (mostly using natural CO2)
- Further pilot and demonstration projects under development
- Abundant R&D activities

EU support to CCS

- CCS is integral part of European Energy and Climate Change policy (e.g. the Strategic Energy Technology /SET/ Plan)
- Legislation enabling CCS (especially the Directive on the geological storage of carbon dioxide, adopted in December 2008, published in June 2009, now in final stage of implementation into national legislations)
- Allowing financial subsidies by Member States
- Support of R&D (7th Framework Programme)
- Integration in the Emission Trading Scheme from 2013 – stored CO₂ is considered not emitted
- Co-financing EEPR and NER300 mechanisms

Six EU demonstration projects financed by EEPR

© EuroGeographics 2001 for the administrative boundaries

Hatfield (UK) Pre-combustion (IGCC Power plant) Storage in offshore gas field Project part of the Yorkshire Forward initiative that aims at developing a transport and storage infrastructure for the region

Rotterdam (NL) Post-combustion Storage in offshore gas field Project part of the Rotterdam Climate initiative that aims at developing a transport and storage infrastructure for the region

NER 300

- 300 million CO₂ emission allowances from the New Entrants Reserve of the ETS will be sold on the market (200 mil. in the 1st round and 100 mil. in the 2nd round) to support CCS and innovative renewable energy demonstration projects
- First bunch of project proposals submitted by Member States in May 2011 (65 RES projects and 13 CCS demos from 7 Member States)
- Decision expected late 2012
- European Investment Bank is steering the project

Outline

Introduction – context of climate change
 The role of CCS
 Economic framework
 CCS projects worldwide
 Situation in Europe
 Future of CCS
 Conclusions

CCS within the carbon abatement portfolio

Figure 1: CCS delivers one-fifth of the lowest-cost GHG reduction solution in 2050

KEY POINT: Without CCS, overall costs to halve CO, emissions levels by 2050 increase by 70%.

International Energy Agency www.iea.org/roadmaps

Large-scale CCS projects under construction

Project name	Location	Capture type	Volume CO ₂ (Mtpa)	Storage type	Start date	
In construction						
Air Products Steam Methane Reformer EOR Project	United States	Pre-combustion (hydrogen production)	1	EOR	2012	
Illinois Industrial Carbon Capture and Sequestration (ICCS)	United States	Industrial (ethanol production)	1	Deep saline formation	2013	
Lost Cabin Gas Plant	United States	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	1	EOR	2013	
Boundary Dam with CCS Demonstration	Canada	Post-combustion (power)	1	EOR	2014	
Agrium CO ₂ Capture with ACTL	Canada	Pre-combustion (fertiliser)	0.6	EOR	2014	
Kemper County IGCC Project	United States	Pre-combustion (power)	3.5	EOR	2014	
Gorgon Carbon Dioxide Injection Project	Australia	Pre-combustion (gas processing)	3.4 - 4	Deep saline formation	2015	

© Global CCS Institute, 2012

In addition, 12 project are expecting the FID within a year

IEA: Tracking Progress in CCS (April 2012)

Deployment rates for large-scale integrated projects are off pace

Not seeing the necessary rate of investment into full-scale demos

Business case lacking for deployment beyond demonstration

Global efforts ≠ significant emission reduction ambitions associated with CCS

What needs to be done?*

- Reduce the financial gap
- Find funding mechanisms for CCS in developing economies
- Develop legal and regulatory frameworks
- Amend marine treaties
- Share knowledge
- Investigate CO₂ storage
- Support CCS in industrial applications

More about the future of CCS in the final workshop presentation by Tore Torp

Recommendations from the IEA/GCCSI report "Tracking progress in Carbon Capture and Storage (April 2012)

Conclusions

- CCS is an important climate change mitigation option
- Technology and storage capacity are available
- There are implementation barriers delaying deployment:
 - lacking world-wide agreement on reducing GHG emissions (post-Kyoto) -> uncertain future carbon price
 - unclear financing of the demonstration and postdemonstration phases (economic crisis)
 - public resistance to onshore storage (NIMBY or NUMBY syndrome)

Nevertheless, without CCS the emission reduction targets cannot be achieved, or, if yes, the costs would be significantly higher

Acknowledgements

This presentation is a dissemination activity of CGS Europe – a coordination action co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities.

The author is grateful to Peter Petrov (EC, DG Research & Innovation) and Eric Drosin (ETP ZEP) for providing slides and information for this presentation.

The CO₂GeoNet Association, ETP ZEP, Global CCS Institute, European Commission, IEA and Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage are acknowledged as sources of graphs, tables and pictures used in this presentation.