

www.

# CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technologies for Industry Iron & Steel, Oil Refinery & Cement

Dr. Prachi Singh, IEAGHG R&D Programme, UK CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage Regional Awareness-Raising Workshop, 13-14<sup>th</sup> June 2012, Ankara, Turkey











3



#### **Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry**

**CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from Industry** 

- - Iron and Steel
    - Oil Refinery Cement

Conclusions

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry IEA Energy Technology Perspective 2010





#### Industrial CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reduction from CCS by 2050 IEA Energy Technology Perspective 2010 Reduction of 2.5Gt CO<sub>2</sub>







#### **Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry**





chematic diagram of possible CCS system





#### Conclusions

6

#### **Steel Plant**





## CO<sub>2</sub> emission from Steel Plant





## **Projection of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from Steel** sector



## Strategy to Control CO<sub>2</sub> Emission



Reducing energy demand from Blast Furnace (BF)

Using more Scrap Metal

Major Source of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from still mills will remain ore based route

Largest EU R&D programme since 2004 Ultra Low CO<sub>2</sub> Steelmaking (ULCOS)

## <u>Ultra Low CO<sub>2</sub> Steel making (ULCOS)</u>



> Three major  $CO_2$ -lean process routes:

- 1. Decarbonizing: Shifting away from coal, replacing carbon by Hydrogen or Electricity,
- 2. Using Hydrogen reduction of ore or Electrolysis of iron ore
- 3. Introduction of CCS technology or the use of sustainable biomass.

In near term Top Gas Recycling Blast Furnace (TGR-BF) is most promising and can be retrofitted

#### **Top Gas Recycling Blast Furnace** (TGR-BF) C 10





#### Japanese "Course 50 Programme"

#### COURSE50 / CO<sub>2</sub> Ultimate Reduction in Steelmaking Process by Innovative Technology for Cool Earth 50



## **Major gaps and Barriers**



#### There are no steel mill in this world which are alike...

- Steel are produced with different processes
- Steel are produced with different type of finished or semifinished products
- Steel are produced with different grades
- Cost of CO<sub>2</sub> capture
- Timeline
- $\geq$  Extra burden from CO<sub>2</sub> purity





#### **Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry**

#### **CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from Industry**



chematic diagram of possible CCS system







## **Basic Refinery Concept**



## **Hydroskimming/ Topping Refinery**



Simple, low upgrading capability refineries run sweet crude Source: VALERO

# High Conversion: Coking Resid Distruction



Complex refineries can run heavier and more sour crudes while achieving the highest light





## CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Breakdown by Process



#### Hydroskimming Refinery 0.6MtCO<sub>2</sub>/annum

#### Conversion Refinery 1.4MtCO<sub>2</sub>/annum



# Distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> emission by Source in a Complex Refinery



## **Technology Selection for CO<sub>2</sub> capture**





Source: Concawe 2011

22

### **Possible Refinery CO<sub>2</sub> Capture**





## **Alternative CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technology**



#### **Oxyfuel Combustion**

 FCC unit air is replaced with pure oxygen diluted by recycled CO<sub>2</sub> to maintain thermal balance and catalyst fluidization will produce 95% CO<sub>2</sub>

#### **Chemical Looping Combustion**

Continuous Fluidized circulation of oxygen career in FCC

#### **Pre Combustion**

 Can be applied to gasify the carbonaceous feed to produce Hydrogen and pure CO<sub>2</sub>

## **Challenges and Barriers**



Refinery retrofit is complex and expensive

- CO<sub>2</sub> Capture needs Utilities → require more energy production from utilities
- Increases Capex and Opex
- Different CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost will be achieved with different refinery Specification
- Require CCS design guideline for new Refinery





#### **Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry**





#### Conclusions

26

## **Cement Industry**

**Process Control** 

#### **Emission Monitoring**



## **Direct CO<sub>2</sub> Emission- Clinker**





## **CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reduction Strategy**



Improving Thermal and Electrical Efficiency

Using Alternative Fuel e.g. Municipal waste, Discarded tyre, Plastic, textile, paper, Biomass

Substitution of Carbon-Intensive Clinker by using blast furnace slag, fly ash from coal power plant

Capturing CO<sub>2</sub> before emission to atmosphere by CCS from fuel combustion and kiln by Post and Oxy Combustion Capture

#### **Post Combustion at Cement plant Require low** pressure CO<sub>2</sub> Purification and transport and steam, CHP compression storage More Gas Exhaust gas cleaning CO<sub>2</sub> Capture System: cleaning Cleaning amine / ammonia scrubbing system or calcium looping De-Dust - De-No, - De-So, - Burners -+ Flue gas Production Pre-Heater Raw Mill Precalciner Rotary kiln Cooler process Clinker Raw material Integration

#### Source: Mott MacDonald 2010



## Oxyfuel Combustion at Cement plant: Total Capture



Source: Mott MacDonald 2010

## Gaps and Challenges for Cement Industry



- Low SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration in flue gas for post combustion.
- > Overall **plant integration** is required.
- Steam requirement for solvent regeneration may be an issue in countries like India
- Increase requirement of land use
- Influence of O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere on the design and operation of the preheater, pre-calciner and kiln
- Oxyfuel changes the product quality
- Reliability issue due to change in combustion characteristic

## Gaps and Challenges for Cement Industry



- $\geq$  Main bottleneck for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture is the **cost**
- Cement Kilns are mostly located at limestone quarries which may not be near to storage site.
- Increase water demand with CO<sub>2</sub> capture unit may represent significant challenge based on site
- Intermittent operation of the cement plant due to market demand
- Technical and financial implication for cement industry is not well understood require more R&D.
- Carbon capture technology in the cement industry will not be ready before 2020.

Source: Mott MacDonald 2010

## **Current CCS Activities in Industry**





Top Gas Recycle, Pilot Plant for 24% CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, 2007, LKAB, Lulea, **Sweden** Top Gas Recycle Demo Plant 2010 & 2015, Arcelor Mittal, **Germany and France** 



Pre-combustion, Pilot 0.4mtCO<sub>2</sub> /annum, 2010, Shell, **The Netherlands** 

Mongstad Refinery TCM, Pilot 0.3 mt CO<sub>2</sub>/ annum Bergen, Norway



European Cement Research Academy (ECRA) Phase III, IV and V CCS project: Demo plant DOE Funded CEMEX Demo Plant, USA





#### **Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission from Industry**

**CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from Industry** 

- Iron and Steel
  - **Oil Refinery**
  - Cement

#### Conclusions

## **Concluding Remark**



CCS represents the most important new technology option for reducing direct emissions in Industry

- Development of CO<sub>2</sub> transportation and Storage needs to be coordinated between sectors to lower the cost
- Greater *investment from Government and Industry is needed* for research, develop, demonstrate and deploy
  CCS
- Clear and Stable long term policies that put a price on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will be required when industry is to implement the technology for deep emission reduction





## Thank you

### *E-mail:* prachi.singh@ieaghg.org

Website: www.ieaghg.org



www.ieaghg.org

GHGT-11 Kyoto, Japan <u>www.ghgt.info</u> 18<sup>th</sup> - 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov. 2012